106

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

TONI L. LYNNE	:	CIVIL ACTION NO. 06-1136
Plaintiff,	:	
v.	:	
TOWNSHIP OF WORCESTER and CHARLES A. SARDO in his individual capacity	:	
Defendants.	:	

FILED
JUL 19 2007
MICHAEL E. KUNZ, Clerk
By _____ Dep. Clerk

VERDICT SLIP

As to plaintiff Toni L. Lynne, and defendants the Township of Worcester and Charles Sardo, the jury unanimously finds as follows:

1. Did the plaintiff (Ms. Lynne) prove, by the preponderance of the evidence, that the Township of Worcester subjected her to a hostile work environment based on her gender in violation of the federal Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1983?

YES ____ NO X

2. Did the plaintiff (Ms. Lynne) prove, by the preponderance of the evidence, that Mr. Sardo subjected her to a hostile work environment based on her gender in violation of the federal Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C.

§ 1983?

YES ____ NO X

3. Did the plaintiff (Ms. Lynne) prove, by the preponderance of the evidence, that the Township subjected her to a hostile work environment in violation of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act?

YES X NO ____

4. Did the plaintiff (Ms. Lynne) prove, by the preponderance of the evidence, that Mr. Sardo subjected her to a hostile work environment in violation of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act?

YES ____ NO X

If you answered "No" to Questions 1, 2, 3, and 4 above, you have completed your deliberations. If you answered "Yes" to Questions 1, 2, 3, or 4 above, proceed to Question 5.

5. What, if any, compensatory damages do you award the plaintiff (Ms. Lynne)?

$ 110,085?

If you answered "No" to Question 2 above, you have completed your deliberations. If you answered "Yes" to Question

2 above, proceed to Question 6.

6. Did the plaintiff (Ms. Lynne) prove, by the preponderance of the evidence, that Mr. Sardo's conduct warrants an award of punitive damages against him?

YES ____ NO ____

Foreperson's signature [signature]

Dated at Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, this 19 day of July, 2007.